UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/594,625	09/28/2006	Masaru Nakamura	296944US2PCT	5244	
	7590 02/04/200 AK, MCCLELLAND I	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			GRUBB, MATTHEW		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		4126			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/04/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/594,625		NAKAMURA, MASARU				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		MATTHEW	GRUBB	4126				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the o	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state teply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no even od will apply and will of tute, cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	September 20	06.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
<i>'</i> =	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
ح/ ت	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disnositi	on of Claims	,	,,					
-		_						
	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn irom cons	sideration.					
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election red	juirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>9/28/06</u> is/are: a)☐ :	accepted or b)	$\overline{f f Z}$ objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 12/28/06,12/10/08.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 4126

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: Figure 9, References 41a, 41b, 41d, 41e, 105A, 105E could not be found in the description [emphasis added, examiner notices that references 105A and 105E are mentioned in a descriptive bubble in Figure 9 as "SWITCHES," but 105A and 105E can not be found anywhere else in the drawing or the specification. Perhaps applicant meant that to use "41b" and "41e" instead of "105A" and "105E"]. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each

Art Unit: 4126

drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-7 are objected to because of the following informalities.

- 3. Independent Claim 1 is unclear because it is lacking antecedent basis,

 Dependent Claims 5 and 6 are objected to based on their dependency to an objected

 Claim. Applicant recites "a control circuit configured to control the ON-period of a pulse signal," perhaps applicant meant to use "an" instead of "the" (see p. 27, line 16). Further is noted that similar recitations are made throughout the claim. Applicant recites "a resulting feedback voltage provided by the feedback voltage superpose circuit," perhaps applicant meant to use "an" instead of "the" (see p. 28, lines 3-4).

 Note that Dependent Claim 5 includes the term "the feedback voltage superpose circuit."
- 4. <u>Independent Claim 2</u> is unclear because it is lacking antecedent basis,

 Dependent Claim 6 is objected to based on its dependency to an objected Claim.

 Applicant recites "a control circuit configured to control **the** ON-period of a pulse signal,"

 perhaps applicant meant to use "**an**" instead of "**the**" (see p. 28, line 22). Further is

 noted that similar recitations are made throughout the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,625

Art Unit: 4126

5. <u>Independent Claim 3</u> is unclear because it is lacking antecedent basis,

Dependent Claim 7 is objected to based on its dependency to an objected Claim.

Applicant recites "a control circuit configured to control the ON-period of a pulse signal,"

Page 4

perhaps applicant meant to use "an" instead of "the" (see p. 30, line 5). Further is noted

that similar recitations are made throughout the claim.

6. <u>Independent Claim 4</u> is unclear because it is lacking antecedent basis. Applicant

recites "a control circuit configured to control the ON-period of a pulse signal," perhaps

applicant meant to use "an" instead of "the" (see p. 31, line 17). Further is noted that

similar recitations are made throughout the claim. Applicant recites "a resulting

feedback voltage provided by the feedback voltage superpose circuit," perhaps

applicant meant to use "an" instead of "the" (see p. 32, lines 12-13).

Independent Claim 4 is unclear. Applicant recites (see p.31, lines 25-30):

A constant-current drooping control circuit configured to switch a first constant current to

second and third constant currents that are each smaller than the first constant current,

or in the other way according to an overcurrent detection result from the overcurrent

<u>detection circuit and to carry out the constant-current dropping control.</u>

Changing the phraseology as below would make the claim clear:

A constant-current drooping control circuit configured to switch between a first constant

current and second and third constant currents that are each smaller than the first

constant current according to an overcurrent detection result from the overcurrent

<u>detection circuit and to carry out the constant-current dropping control.</u>

Art Unit: 4126

7. <u>Dependent Claim 7</u> is unclear. It appears that Dependent Claim 7 was intended to be dependent on Independent Claim 4 instead of Independent Claim 3 (as recited). Multiple antecedent basis problems exist if Claim 7 is intended to be dependent on Claim 3.

Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter.

Claims 1-7 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection(s) set forth in this Office action.

8. Independent Claim 1 would be allowable because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest, a switching power source, or means for, comprising:

A constant-current drooping control circuit configured to select one of a first constant current and a second constant current smaller than the first constant current according to an overcurrent detection result from the overcurrent detection circuit and to superpose the selected current on the feedback voltage from the output detection circuit, wherein the control circuit controls the ON-period of the pulse signal supplied to the switching element according to a resultant feedback voltage provided by the feedback voltage superpose circuit;

in combination with the other recited limitations in the claim. Claims 5 and 6 would be allowable because of their dependency on Claim 1.

9. <u>Independent Claim 2</u> would be allowable because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest, a switching power source, or means for, comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/594,625

Art Unit: 4126

A constant-current drooping control circuit configured to select one of a first constant current and a second constant current smaller than the first constant current according to an overcurrent detection result from the overcurrent detection circuit, output the selected current, and carry out constant-current drooping control; in combination with the other recited limitations in the claim. Claim 6 would be allowable because of its dependency on Claim 2.

Page 6

10. Independent Claim 3 would be allowable because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest, a switching power source, or means for, comprising:

A constant-current drooping control circuit configured to switch a first constant and a second constant current smaller than the first constant current from one to another according to an overload detection result from the feedback voltage detection circuit and to carry out constant-current drooping control;

in combination with the other recited limitations in the claim.

11. <u>Independent Claim 4</u> would be allowable because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest, a switching power source, or means for, comprising:

<u>A constant-current drooping control circuit configured to switch between a first constant current and second and third constant currents that are each smaller than the first constant current according to an overcurrent detection result from the overcurrent detection circuit and to carry out the constant-current dropping control [emphasis added, see Claim Objection above];</u>

in combination with the other recited limitations in the claim. Claim 7 would be allowable because of its dependency on Claim 4 [emphasis added, see Claim Objection above].

Conclusion

- 12. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters: see Claim Objections above.
- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Hashimoto et al; JP 09-074748: Hashimoto et al which teaches a similar switching power supply device, but does not teach that two constant currents will be superposed on a feedback voltage.
 - Mori; 6,879,501: Mori teaches a similar switching power supply, but does not teach that two constant currents will be superposed on a feedback voltage.
 - Konno; 6,975,521: Konno teaches a similar switching power supply, but does not teach that two constant currents will be superposed on a feedback voltage.
- 14. Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213, (Comm'r Pat. 1935).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Art Unit: 4126

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MATTHEW GRUBB whose telephone number is (571)270-5710. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TU NGUYEN can be reached on 571-272-2424. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. G./ Examiner, Art Unit 4126 /James P. Hughes/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2883